

1 KAREN P. HEWITT
 2 United States Attorney
 2 THOMAS B. REEVE, JR.
 3 Assistant U. S. Attorney
 3 California State Bar No. 069310
 4 Room 6293, at 880 Front Street
 4 San Diego, California 92101-8893
 5 Telephone: (619) 557-7159
 5 Tom.Reeve@usdoj.gov
 6 Attorneys for United States of America

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 8 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

9 GARY L. WHEELOCK, } Case No. 07 cv 2177-JM(JMA)
 10 Plaintiff, }
 11 v. }
 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, } ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
 13 et al., }
 14 Defendants. }

15
 16 COMES NOW Defendant United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Karen
 17 P. Hewitt, United States Attorney, and Thomas B. Reeve, Jr., and in answer to Plaintiff's
 18 complaint states as follows:

19 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant affirmatively alleges that
 20 said paragraph contains allegations which present legal conclusions and questions of law to
 21 be determined solely by the court, to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer
 22 is required, each, all and every allegation contained in said paragraph is denied.

23 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that the United
 24 States of America has a Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in San Diego,
 25 California. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each,
 26 all and every remaining allegation in said paragraph.

27 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that the United
 28 States of America has a Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in San Diego,

1 California. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each,
2 all and every remaining allegation in said paragraph.

3 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
4 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
5 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
6 contained therein.

7 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
8 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
9 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
10 contained therein.

11 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
12 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
13 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
14 contained therein.

15 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant affirmatively alleges that
16 said paragraph contains allegations which present legal conclusions and questions of law to
17 be determined solely by the court, to which no answer is required. To the extent an answer
18 is required, each, all and every allegation contained in said paragraph is denied.

19 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
20 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
21 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
22 contained therein.

23 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that some persons
24 working at the San Diego Veterans Affairs Medical Center are federal employees. However,
25 there are also others, including independent contractors at the San Diego V.A. Medical Center.
26 Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each, all and
27 every remaining allegation in said paragraph.

28

1 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that some persons
2 working at the San Diego Veterans Affairs Medical Center are federal employees. However,
3 there are also others, including independent contractors at the San Diego V.A. Medical
4 Center. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each,
5 all and every remaining allegation in said paragraph.

6 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
7 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
8 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
9 contained therein.

10 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
11 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
12 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
13 contained therein.

14 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that the
15 Department of Veterans Affairs has a Medical Center in San Diego. Except as specifically
16 admitted, defendant denies, generally and specifically, each, all and every remaining allegation
17 in said paragraph.

18 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that some persons
19 working at the San Diego Veterans Affairs Medical Center are federal employees. However,
20 there are also others, including independent contractors at the San Diego V.A. Medical Center.
21 Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each, all and
22 every remaining allegation in said paragraph.

23 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
24 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
25 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
26 contained therein.

27 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
28 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein

1 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
2 contained therein.

3 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
4 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
5 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
6 contained therein.

7 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
8 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
9 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
10 contained therein.

11 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that it is without
12 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations therein
13 contained, and based thereon, denies generally and specifically each, all and every allegation
14 contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES

16 1. The United States has not waived sovereign immunity and there is no subject
17 matter jurisdiction under the Federal Tort Claims Act for the torts of an independent contractor.
18 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b) and 2679(b)(1).

19 2. The Defendant and its personnel exercised due care and diligence in accordance
20 with the standard of care in the community with reference to the treatment of the Plaintiff, and
21 no negligent act or failure to act by the Defendant or its agents or employees was the actual
22 cause or proximate cause of the injuries or damage alleged in the Complaint or otherwise.

23 3. Occurrences prior and/or subsequent to the medical treatment performed by
24 Defendant's employees caused, compounded or created the injuries and medical condition
25 with reference to the Plaintiff, for which pre-existing, intervening, and superseding occurrences
26 the United States is not liable.

27 4. The Plaintiff is limited to recovery, if any, of the amount claimed administratively
28 in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2675(b).

1 5. Any damages which Plaintiff may have sustained were proximately caused solely
2 by the independent, intervening, and superseding acts and omissions of a third person, or
3 were caused jointly by the negligence of Plaintiff and by the independent, intervening and
4 superseding acts or omissions of a third person.

5 6. Plaintiff's action is time barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

6 7. The injuries and damages alleged by Plaintiff were not proximately caused by
7 a negligent or wrongful act or omission on the part of an employee or agent of the United
8 States.

9 8. The Federal Tort Claims Act provides no remedy against the United States for
10 the conduct of anyone not a federal employee.

11 9. Defendant United States cannot be held strictly liable under the FTCA. Plaintiff
12 must prove negligence by a federal employee.

13 10. Defendant is entitled to an offset against damages, if any, for all monies paid to
14 plaintiff by the United States as a result of Plaintiff's injuries.

15 11. All future damages, if any, must be reduced to present value.

16 12. Income taxes must be deducted from all alleged past and future lost earnings,
17 if any.

18 13. Defendant asserts as an affirmative defense all of the provisions of the California
19 Medical Injury Compensation Reform Act (MICRA), including but not limited to California Civil
20 Code §§ 3333.1, 3333.2; California Code of Civil Procedure § 667.7; and California Business
21 and Professions Code § 6146.

22 14. In the event Defendant is found liable, which defendant expressly denies, all
23 future damages, if any, in excess of \$50,000 must be paid in periodic payments.

24 15. Proposition 51, California Civil Code § 1431.2, et seq. provides that "each
25 defendant shall be liable only for the amount of non-economic damages allocated to the
26 defendant in direct proportion to that defendant's percentage of fault. . ." It further provides
27 for apportionment of non-economic damages at the trial level.

28 ///

1 16. Under MICRA, any periodic payment award must be effected through the
2 creation of a Reversionary Trust with any unused balance reverting to the United States.

3 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by reason of his suit herein,
4 that judgment be rendered in favor of said Defendant, for costs of suit herein incurred, and for
5 such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper.

6 February 1, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT
United States Attorney

/s/ Thomas B. Reeve, Jr.

THOMAS B. REEVE, JR.
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Attorneys for Defendant
United States of America